PB# 88-6

Small Town Land (SP)

13-14-22

Small Town Land Site Plan 88-6

Odery 1/34

.

.

.

)(1.3.1.)

.

| | Gene | ral Receipt | 9617 |
|---|-----------|-------------|------------------|
| 555 Union Avenue New Windsor, N. Y. 12550 | | | 2/25 1988 |
| Received of Alth | Will | cano | \$25.00. |
| Quenty of | me s | and | DOLLARS |
| For Clarking | Board | app. Dee. | -#88-6 |
| DISTRIBUTION / FUND COL | DE AMOUNT | By Jaul | ire D. Joionsena |
| Casa | 70.00 | \bigcirc | own Clash |
| Williamson Law Book Co., Rochester, N. Y. 14609 | | | Title |

•

日本語の おおとれる こう

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

88-6

TO:

TOWN PLANNING BOARD

FROM:

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

SUBJECT:

APPLICATION OF KEITH WILLIAMS-PB FILE# 88-6 (ZBA#88-39)

DATE:

June 28, 1988

This applicant has been referred to the ZBA by the Planning Board for an interpretation as to whether a variance is required for a lot line change.

At the June 27, 1988 meeting of the ZBA, the following motion was made in this instance:

Motion by Richard Fenwick, seconded by Lawrence Torley, that in the opinion of the ZBA, the Williams property meets all the bulk requirements for this area and no variances are required at this time and that the Planning Board be so informed. ROLL CALL: 6-0.

James Nugent, Chairman

/pab

cc: Building Inspector

Mr. Keith Williams came before the Board.

05-25-88

Mr. Williams: The former owner, John Duda owned this property and the corner property connected to it and in the 70's he subdivided this lot for the purpose of selling it at a future date for part of his requirement. He did not intend the existing garage to be included ini the lot. Somewhere at the time between him and the surveyor, a mistake was made and we are trying to correct it. The lot line change would also make the lot squarer and much better.

Mr. Jone: He's got that garage right on the property line.

Mr. Williams: Yes, on the front that is why I want the lot line change.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: If you put the lot line right next to the building, you have to go get a variance.

Mr. Scheible: Have you been before the Zoning Board at all?

Mr. Williams: What for?

Mr. Scheible: For a variance, since your garage, the way you have the new line would be right on top of the line.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: You are suposed to have 15 feet there.

Mr. Scheible: It should be 15 feet separating your lot line and the garage and the nearest building.

Mr. Mc Carville: Is there a dwelling on this property other than the garage?

Mr. Williams: Yes.

Mr. Mc Carville: Where is it? It should be shown.

Mr. Williams: It sits right here.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: Do you own both pieces?

Mr. Williams: No, John Duda still owns the corner piece.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: You are going to build a house?

Mr. Williams: I have a building permit. I don't need the lot line change to continue building because there is no problem between me and John but if I ever want to sell I'd never get clear title with the garage half on my property. It is not going to benefit me.

Mr. Mc Carville: You have to have a variance. We can't approve this garage being on the property line. You'd need a 15 foot side yard variance. Can you get a variance on a lot line that doesn't exist?

Mr. Rone: He's already got a non-conformance. The lot line runs right through the garage for whatever reason. You are no creating anything and you are not creating anything worse by moving the lot line over.

Mr. Williams: In the 70's this Town Board approved that lot line right through the garage. They approved that so we are just trying to correct that.

Mr. Jones: I have been on the Planning Board for 18 years and I have never seen a lot line change like that.

Mr. Williams: It wasn't shown properly at the time.

Mr. Rones: More likely, surveyor's error at some point.

Mr. Williams: The garage was built in the 30's.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: If somebody brings in a map and does not show that garage or if that arage was left off, which happens, if somebody doesn't want you to see something, they leave it off. It might very well have been left off. Somebody may have took a tape and started measuring and didn't know where he was. I make

a motion that the Planning Board of the Town of New Windsor approve the Small Town Land lot line change.

Mr. Scheible: I have a question. You are at the intersection of Clancy and Cherrie? What else is there besides just the garage on that lot. Where is the house here.

Mr. Williams: The Duda house is right here on this corner.

Mr. Scheible: You are not showing that either.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: We cannot approve this the way it is.

Mr. Mc Carville: Why can't you?

Mr. Van Leeuwen: Because you are approving an illegal lot.

Mr. Mc Carville: It is already illegal it has a lot line going through the garage.

Mr. Williams: I am changing the front corner.

Mr. Mc Carville: On the issue here with the lot line again what you are saying since it is runing through the garage, we are not creating anything any worse, we are creating a better situation. Therefore a variance is not required.

Mr. Rones: Right. It is already non-conforming. It is already non-conforming lot as far as the bulk tables are concerned and for setbacks so you are not creating the non-conformity. You are confronted with the non-conformity.

Mr. Pagano: We have one tenth of a foot clearance I agree that the line should be changed but one tenth of a foot is not enough for a guy to maintain a garage to get around it. Otherwise you are going to have personality problems here.

Mr. Scheible: We have a chance here, we'd be making this proposed lot too small. If you give it any more footage then it would be--

Mr. Edsall: My comment was addressed for consideration of Mr. Rones and he indicated from his review that being that it is a non-conforming lot already, the decrease of the lot area to straighten out this actual bad situation with the lot line going through the garage would not require a variance.

Mr. Schiefer: You are making a lot that is to small, smaller yet and you don't need any variance.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: You have it in item four that you do.

Mr. Edsall: They have to show that the dwelling—we require 15 foot setback for the side yard to the north of the proposed dwelling. It is going to indicate what size house you are allowed to have. You are going to build a house on the avilable space.

Mr. Rones: If you have any doubt as to whether the varaince is needed, the proper thing to do is refer it to the Zoning board for their review. If they feel a variance is necessary, they will set him up for a public hearing. If

they don't they will shoot him back here. They are the agency that is supposed to be interpreting the ordinance. So if there is any ambiguity in your mind, that is what you should do.

Mr. Scheible: We are not asking them to do site plans. If we approve this, we are issuing a variance that is the way I look at it. I believe this should go back to the Zoning Board. I don't want them to say well, the Planning Board is issuing a variance now, so we can start issuing site plan reviews.

Mr. Williams: Can I bring to the Board's attention that I don't even need to get a lot line change to continue building. It is well within the requirements now. The only purpose to doing this would be to enable a clear title to the sale of the house one day.

Mr. Scheible: When you go to sell this house, this proposed building, do you intend to sell it?

Mr. Williams: Yes, I do.

Mr. Scheible: Somebody would haver get a mortgage. Unless you get your variance, you will never get a mortgage. So, the best thing for you to do is go to the Zoning Board and I can't see any reason why they are not going to give you a variance. You just plan to put a spec house up?

Mr. Williams: That is it.

Mr. Scheible: You are going to run into problems when you try and sell the house.

Mr. Rones: It is really both your problems. So, really the best thing to do if you want to try and get it straightened out and improve the marketability of the place you are putting up here is to make your application to the Zoning Board. They might send you back here not needing a variance or if they decide you will need one, they will set you up. I suggest you have your neighbor join in that application.

Mr. Schiefer: I make a motion that the Planning Board of the Town of New Windsor approve the lot line change of Small Town Land, Inc.

Mr. Van Leeuwen I will second that.

ROLL CALL

| MR. LANDER | NAY |
|----------------|---------|
| MR. JONES | NAY |
| MR. PAGANO | NAY |
| MR. VAN LEEUWE | NAY NAY |
| MR. MC CARVILL | .E NAY |
| MR. SCHIEFER | NAY |
| MR. SCHEIBLE | NAY |



45 QUASSAICK AVE. (ROUTE 9W) **NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12550**

TELEPHONE (914) 562-8640 PORT JERVIS (914) 856-5600 RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. MARK J. EDSALL, P.E. Associate

Licensed in New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME:

WILLTAMS (AKA SMALL TOWN LAND) LOT LINE CHANGE

PROJECT LOCATION: PROJECT NUMBER:

CHERRY AVENUE NEAR CLANCY

88-06

25 MAY 1988

DATE:

- The Applicant has submitted a plan for a proposed Lot Line Change between Lots 22 and 1 of Section 13, Block 14. It is understood from the plan that the purpose of this change is to place an existing garage totally within a single property. Currently, the existing property line "splits" the existing garage.
- The existing Lot No. 22 currently is a nonconforming lot, having less than minimum lot area and lot width. The proposed action will result in a further decrease in the lot area and lot width. The Board should verify if a variance is required for this action.
- It is recommended that the Planning Board have sufficient documentation on record, acceptable to the Attorney, that both Owners give consent to this Lot Line Change.
- The Plan should indicate that a minimum 15" setback is required for the side yard to the north of the proposed dwelling.
- 5. It should be verified that the garage, which is currently "split" by the property line is intended for ownership by the Owners of Lot No. 1.

PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME:

PROJECT LOCATION:

PROJECT NUMBER:

WILLIAMS (AKA SMALL TOWN LAND)

LOT LINE CHANGE

CHERRY AVENUE NEAR CLANCY

88-06

DATE: 25 MAY 1988

-2-

6. I find the purpose of the proposed action very appropriate and the layout of the Lot Line Change acceptable. Upon review of the items above and obtaining any variances required (if any), I would recommend approval of this proposed Lot Line Change, from an Engineering standpoint.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mary J. Edsall, P.E.

Planning Board Engineer

MJE.emj

william2emj

OFFICE OF THE PLANNING BOARD TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR ORANGE COUNTY, N.Y.

NOTICE OF DISAPPROVAL OF SITE PLAN OR SUBDIVISION APPLICATION

| PB | File No. <u>EE-C</u> Date <u>9 JUNE 1988</u> |
|----|---|
| | TO: KEITH WILLIAMS |
| | SIE BALMORAL CIELLE |
| | DEW WINDSOR N.G. 12550 |
| | PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that your application dated for (Subdivision Site Plan) LOT LINE CHANGE located at CHERRY AVENUE |
| | is returned herewith and disapproved for the following reasons. |
| | INTERPRETATION NEEDED LE RESULTANT |
| | REDUCTION IN LOT AREA DUE TO LAT |
| | LINE CHANGE. |
| | HENRY SCHEIBLE WIX |
| | Planning Board Chairman |

| R-Y NUNCCUFUCFING 46-26 Requirements | Proposed or Available | Variance Request |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Min. Lot Area 5000 JF | 6982 SF ± | |
| Min. Lot Width N/A | 66 FT. | |
| Regd Front Yd. 35 FT | 35 FT | |
| Regd. Side Yd. /J/Z4F T. | <u> 15 30 F T.</u> | |
| Reqû. Rear Yd. <u>40 F</u> T | <u> 48 FT</u> | |
| Reqû. Street 50 FT | 68 FT | 4 |
| Max. Bldg. Hgt. 35FT | 35 FT | |
| Min. Floor Area* 1000 SF | 1000 FT | |
| Dev. Coverage* MA & | N/A & | £ 8 |
| Floor Area Ratio | NIA | |

** Non-residential Districts only

* Residential Districts only





555 UNION AVENUE NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

| CHECKLIST FOR COMPLETE | SUBMITTALS |
|---|--|
| // AND ROUTING CHECK | KLIST |
| PROJECT NAME: mall lown found Se PROJECT NUMBER: 78-6 | terlan |
| Completed Application Form Notarized Endorsement on Application Application Fee Proxy Statement Environmental Assessment Form Completed Checklist Fourteen (14) Sets of Submittal Plans | |
| ROUTING PROCEDU | JRE |
| Copies of the submitted plan should be partments. | sent to the following |
| Sewer Department Planning Board Engineer Orange County Planning* Bureau of Fire Prevention | Building Inspector Water Department Highway Department NYSDOT* |
| In addition copies of the following sho | ould be sent to the |
| Application Submittal Checklist | EAF Dept. Review |

* O/C Planning and DOT as required.

Planning Board Town of New Windsor 555 Union Avenue New Windsor, NY 12550

(This is a two-sided form)

| | Date Received Meeting Date Public Hearing Action Date Fees Paid |
|-----|---|
| | APPLICATION FOR SITE PLAN, LOT-LINE CHANGE OR SUBDIVISION PLAN APPROVAL |
| 1. | Name of Project KEITH WILLIAMS LOT LINE CHANGE |
| 2. | Name of Applicant Krith Williams Phone 565 5566 |
| | Address 518 BALMORAL CIRCLE NEW WINDSOR N. Y. 12550 (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (Zip) |
| 3. | Owner of Record SAME Phone |
| | Address (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (Zip) |
| 4. | Person Preparing Plan FRANK HOENS Phone 294 6238 |
| | Address /5 Williams St. Goshen N.V. (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (Zip) |
| 5. | Attorney David Hannigan Phone 651 3190 |
| | Address HAIN ST- FLORIDA N.Y. (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (Zip) |
| 6. | Location: On the <u>EAST</u> side of <u>Cheary Aue</u> (Street) |
| | of 10.0mm/April feet 1900 South 1900 City 16: The Seet 1900 South 1900 City 16: The Seet 1900 |
| | of CLANCY AUE (Street) |
| 7. | Acreage of Parcel 81 ×110 8. Zoning District R4 |
| 9. | Tax Map Designation: Section 13 Block 14 Lot 22 |
| | This application is for A LOT LINE CHANGE ON THE |
| 11. | Has the Zoning Board of Appeals granted any variance or and special permit concerning this property? |

| If so, list Case No. and Name |
|--|
| 12. List all contiguous holdings in the same ownership Section NONE Block Lot(s) |
| Attached hereto is an affidavit of ownership indicating the dates the respective holdings of land were acquired, together with the liber and page of each conveyance into the present owner as recorded in the Orange County Clerk's Office. This affidavit shall indicate the legal owner of the property, the contract owner of the property and the date the contract of sale was executed. |
| IN THE EVENT OF CORPORATE OWNERSHIP: A list of all directors, officers and stockholders of each corporation owning more than five percent (5%) of any class of stock must be attached. Keith Williams OWNER'S ENDORSEMENT (Completion required ONLY if applicable) |
| COUNTY OF ORANGE SS.: |
| STATE OF NEW YORK |
| that he resides at 5/8 Balmoral Clack in the County of ORANGE and State of No. 1/20 and that he is (the owner in fee) of Small Town Land Inc. (Official Title) of the Corporation which is the Owner in fee of the premises described in the foregoing application and that he has authorized to make the foregoing application for Special Use Approval as described herein. |
| I HEREBY DEPOSE AND SAY THAT ALL THE ABOVE STATEMENTS AND INFORMATION, AND ALL STATEMENTS AND INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND DRAWINGS ATTACHED HERETO ARE TRUE. |
| Sworn before me this (Owner's Signature) |
| 26 day of February 1988 (Applicant's Signature) |
| Notary Public (Title) |
| LYNN M. VANCE REV. 3-87 No. 491 1142 Appointed in Orange County Wy Commission Expires November 16, 18, 19 |

· 大学の一個などのでは、「「一個などのでは、「「「「「」」」では、「「「「」」」では、「「「」」」では、「「「」」」では、「「」」では、「「」」では、「「」」では、「「」」では、「「」」では、「「」」

14 16 3 (3 n1) Replaces 14 16 3

SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM Appendix B Part 617

| Appendix & Part 617 | | | |
|---|----------|--------------|--|
| Project Title: KEITH WILLIAMS LOT LINE CHANGE Location: Cherry Aug. NEW WINDSOR, N.) | | | |
| Location: Cherry Aug. NEW WindSOR, N. | <u>/</u> | | |
| 1D Number: 13-14-2 | | | |
| INSTRUCTIONS: (a) In order to answer the questions in this short EAF it is assumed that the preparer will use currently available information concerning the project and the likely impacts of the action. It is not expected that additional studies, research or other investigations will be undertaken. (b) If any question has been answered Yes, the project may have a significant effect and the full Environmental Assessment Form is necessary. Maybe or Unknown answers should be considered as Yes answers. (c) If all questions have been answered No it is likely that this project will not have a significant effect. (d) If additional space is needed to answer the questions, please use the back of the sheet or provide attachments as required. | | | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT | | | |
| | YES | NO | |
| Will project result in a large physical change to the project site or physically alter more than 10 acres of land? | | X | |
| 2. Will there be a major change to any unique or unusual land form found on the site? | | AMMMM | |
| 3. Will project alter or have a large effect on an existing body of water? | | B | |
| 4. Will project have an adverse impact on groundwater quality? | | X | |
| 5. Will project significantly effect drainage flow on adjacent sites? | | الكر | |
| 6. Will project affect any threatened or endangered plant or animal species? | J | 公 | |
| 7. Will project result in a major adverse effect on air quality? | | N | |
| 8. Will project have a major effect on the visual character of the community or scenic views or vistas known to be important to the community? | | M | |
| 9. Will project adversely impact any site or structure of historic, prehistoric, or paleontological importance or any site designated as a Critical Environmental Area by a local agency? | | K | |
| 10. Will project have a major adverse effect on existing or future recreational opportunities? | Ö | X | |
| 11. Will project result in major traffic problems or cause a major effect to existing transportation | | ٠ | |
| systems? | | X | |
| 12. Is project non-farm related and located within a certified agricultural district? | | A | |
| 13. Will project regularly cause objectionable odors, noise, glare, vibration, or electrical disturbance as a result of the project's operation? | | M | |
| 14. Will project have any adverse impact on public health or safety? | | A | |
| 15. Will project affect the existing community by directly causing a growth in permanent population of more than 5 percent over a one-year period or have a major negative effect on the character of the community or neighborhood? | | X | |
| 16. Is there public controversy concerning any potential impact of the project? | | × | |
| | | | |
| FOR AGENCY USE ONLY | | | |
| Preparer's Signature: Keith K. Wilbams Date: 2/ | 125/ | 88 | |
| Preparer's Title: | | | |

PROXY STATEMENT

. for submittal to the

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

| KEITH WILLIA | کس | poses and says that he |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| resides at 5/8 BALL | MORAL CIRCL | E NEW MINGSOF N. N. |
| (Ow | ner's Address) | |
| in the County of | ORANGE | |
| and State of | ν. γ. | |
| and that he is the own | er in fee of | SMALL TOWN LAND INC. |
| 13-14-22 | 1ot | |
| which is the premises | described in the | e foregoing application and |
| that he has authorized | MARKET | REBECCA H. VILLIAMS |
| to make the foregoing | application as | described therein. |
| Date: $\frac{\partial}{\partial 5} / 88$ | | Geich L Wilhams. (Owner's Signature) |
| | | (Witness' Signature) |

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD SITE PLAN CHECKLIST

| SITE PLAN CRECE | NUIST |
|---|---|
| <u>ITEM</u> | |
| | |
| l. V Site Plan Title | 29. Curbing Locations 30. Curbing Through Section |
| 2. Applicant's Name(s) | 30Curbing Through |
| 3. V, Applicant's Address(es) | Section |
| 4. V Site Plan Preparer's Name | 31Catch Basin Locations |
| 5. Site Plan Preparer's Address | 32. Catch Basin Through |
| 6. Drawing and Revision Dates | Section |
| 74"x2" Box for Approval | 33Storm Drainage |
| Stamp. | 34. Refuse Storage |
| 8. AREA MAP INSET | 35. Other Outdoor Storage |
| 9. Site Designation | 36. Area Lighting |
| 10. Properties Within 500 Feet | 37. Sanitary Disposal Sys. |
| of Site | |
| llProperty Owners (Item #10) | 38Water Supply/Fire |
| | Hydrants |
| 12. PLOT PLAN | 39Building Locations |
| 13. Scale (1" = 50' or lesser) 14. Metes and Bounds | 40. Building Setbacks |
| 14. Metes and Bounds | 41. Front Building |
| 15Zoning Designation | Elevations |
| 16North Arrow | 42. Divisions of Occupancy |
| 17Abutting Property Owners | 43. Sign Details |
| 18. Existing Building Locations | 44. BULK TABLE INSET |
| 19. Existing Paved Areas | 45. Property Area (Nearest |
| 20. Existing Vegetation | 100 sq. ft.) |
| 21. Existing Access & Egress | 46. Building Coverage (sq. |
| | 100 sq. ft.) 46. Building Coverage (sq. ft.) |
| PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS | 47Building Coverage (% of Total Area) |
| 22. Landscaping | of Total Area) |
| 23. Exterior Lighting | 48. Pavement Coverage (Sq. |
| 23Exterior Lighting 24Screening | Ft.) |
| 25Access & Egress | 49. Pavement Coverage (% |
| 26Parking Areas | of Total Area) |
| 27Loading Areas | 50. Open Space (Sq. Ft.) |
| 28Paving Details | 51Open Space (% of Total |
| (Items 25-27) | Area) |
| (100110 45 27) | 52. No. of Parking Spaces |
| | Proposed. |
| | 53No. of Parking |
| • | Required. , |
| | |
| This list is provided as a guide onl | |
| of the Applicant. The Town of New W | |
| require additional notes or revision | s prior to granting approval. |
| PREPARER'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: | • |
| The Site Plan has been prepared in a | ccordance with this checklist |
| and the Town of New Windsor Ordinance | |
| knowledge. | or, so and seed of my |
| Dec. | |

Rev. 3-87

Date:

